



APPENDICES

สำนักหอสมุด

Appendix I

Proof for $\frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2^L, a_2^F)}{\partial a_2} \leq 0$,

From the objective equation of Leader in second period,

$$V_2^L(a_2, \alpha) = \min_{p_{a_2}} \left\{ p_{a_2} (e_2^L - a_2^L - q_2^L) + C^L(q_2^L, \theta) \right\} \quad (A1)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ is the fraction of permits granted to Leader: $a_i^L = \alpha a_i$ and $a_i^F = (1 - \alpha) a_i$.

By $a_i^L = \alpha a_i$, equation (A1) can be,

$$V_2^L(a_2, \alpha) = \min_{p_{a_2}} \left\{ p_{a_2} (e_2^L - \alpha a_2 - q_2^L) + C^L(q_2^L, \theta) \right\} \quad (A2)$$

Differentiate this equation with respect to a_2 ,

$$\frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} = -\alpha p_{a_2} - p_{a_2} \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \quad (A3)$$

By market clearing, $e_i^L + e_i^F - (q_i^L + q_i^F(p_{a_i})) = a_i^L + a_i^F$, and $a_i^F = (1 - \alpha) a_i$, equation (A2) can be,

$$V_2^L(a_2, \alpha) = \min_{p_{a_2}} \left\{ p_{a_2} \left((1 - \alpha) a_2 - e_2^F + q_2^F(p_{a_2}) \right) + C^L(q_2^L, \theta) \right\} \quad (A4)$$

Differentiate this equation with respect to a_2 ,

$$\frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} = (1 - \alpha) p_{a_2} + p_{a_2} \frac{\partial q_2^F(p_{a_2})}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \quad (A5)$$

Equations (A3) and (A5) are equal,

$$-\alpha p_{a_2} - p_{a_2} \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} = (1 - \alpha) p_{a_2} + p_{a_2} \frac{\partial q_2^F(p_{a_2})}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\alpha p_{a_2} - p_{a_2} \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} &= (1-\alpha) p_{a_2} + p_{a_2} \frac{\partial q_2^F(p_{a_2})}{\partial a_2} \\
-\frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} &= 1 + \frac{\partial q_2^F(p_{a_2})}{\partial a_2} \\
\frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial q_2^F(p_{a_2})}{\partial a_2} &= -1
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

From the first order condition of Leader in second period, equation (10),

$$\left[\hat{p}_{a_2} - \hat{C}_q^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \right] \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}} = e_2^F - a_2^F - \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})$$

$$\text{which by market clearing, } \left[\hat{p}_{a_2} - \hat{C}_q^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \right] \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}} = \alpha a_2 + \hat{q}_2^L - e_2^L \tag{A7}$$

Differentiate this equation with respect to a_2 ,

$$\left[\frac{\partial \hat{p}_{a_2}}{\partial a_2} - \frac{\partial \hat{C}_q^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2} \right] \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}} = \alpha + \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2}$$

Assume that $\frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}}$ is stable with respect to a_2 , then rearrange this equation,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2} = \frac{\frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}} - \alpha}{1 + \hat{C}_{qq}^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}}} \tag{A8}$$

$$\text{From equation (A6) and (A8), } \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2} = \frac{-1 - \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2} - \alpha}{1 + \hat{C}_{qq}^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}}}$$

$$\left(1 + \hat{C}_{qq}^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2} = -1 - \alpha$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2} = \frac{-1-\alpha}{2 + \hat{C}_{qq}^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}}} \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\text{Because } 2 + \hat{C}_{qq}^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}} > 0 \text{ and } -1-\alpha < 0, \text{ so } \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^L}{\partial a_2} < 0 \quad (\text{A10})$$

Again from the first order condition of Leader in second period, equation (10),

$$\left[\hat{p}_{a_2} - \hat{C}_q^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \right] \frac{\partial \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})}{\partial p_{a_2}} = e_2^F - a_2^F - \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2})$$

we concern in two cases, Monopoly market and Monopsony market.

1) **Monopoly market**, Leader is seller of permit and Follower is buyer.

Follower is buyer, $e_2^F - a_2^F - \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2}) \geq 0$ then $\hat{p}_{a_2} - \hat{C}_q^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \geq 0$.

From (A3),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} &= -\alpha p_{a_2} - p_{a_2} \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \\ \frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} &= \left(-\alpha - \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \right) \cdot p_{a_2} + \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

We separate equation (A11) to, $\frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2}$ and $\left(-\alpha - \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \right) \cdot p_{a_2}$.

By equation (A10) and marginal abatement cost is positive,

$$\text{then } \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} < 0. \quad (\text{A12})$$

For term $\left(-\alpha - \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \right) \cdot p_{a_2}$,

1.1) If $-\alpha - \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \leq 0$ or $\frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \geq -\alpha$, mean if regulator allow more permit for 1 unit

then Leader will reduce abatement level less than permit received. That is Leader sell some permits or Leader will be seller.

1.2) If $-\alpha - \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \geq 0$ or $\frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \leq -\alpha$, mean if regulator allow more permit for 1 unit

then Leader will reduce abatement level more than permit received. That is

Leader buy some permits or Leader will be buyer. Therefore, if $-\alpha - \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \geq 0$

Leader is buyer and Follower is seller, which is is monopsony market. Hence,

$-\alpha - \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \geq 0$ is impossible in monopoly market case.

$$\text{From 1.1) and 1.2), } \left(-\alpha - \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \right) \cdot p_{a_2} \leq 0 \quad (\text{A13})$$

Therefore, from (A11) – (A13) in monopoly market, $\frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2^L, a_2^F)}{\partial a_2} \leq 0$.

2) Monopsony market, Leader is buyer of permit and Follower is seller.

Follower is seller, $e_2^F - a_2^F - \hat{q}_2^F(\hat{p}_{a_2}) \leq 0$ then $\hat{p}_{a_2} - \hat{C}_q^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \leq 0$.

From (A3),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} &= -\alpha p_{a_2} - p_{a_2} \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \\ &= -\alpha p_{a_2} - \left(p_{a_2} - \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \\ &= -\alpha p_{a_2} - \left(p_{a_2} - C_q^L(q_2^L, \theta) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

By $\hat{p}_{a_2} - \hat{C}_q^L(\hat{q}_2^L, \theta) \leq 0$ and equation (A10), $-\alpha p_{a_2} - \left(p_{a_2} - C_q^L(q_2^L, \theta) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \leq 0$

Therefore, in monopsony market, $\frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2^L, a_2^F)}{\partial a_2} \leq 0$.

Hence, from both monopoly and monopsony market, $\frac{\partial V_2^L(a_2^L, a_2^F)}{\partial a_2} \leq 0$.

Appendix II

Proof for $\frac{\partial V_2^L(T_2, a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} = -\alpha T_2 \leq 0$,

From the objective equation of Leader in second period,

$$V_2^L(T_2, a_2, \alpha) = \underset{q_2^L}{\text{Min}} \left\{ T_2 (e_2^L - a_2^L - q_2^L) + C^L(q_2^L, \theta) \right\} \quad (\text{A15})$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ is the fraction of permits granted to Leader: $a_t^L = \alpha a_t$ and $a_t^F = (1 - \alpha) a_t$.

By $a_t^L = \alpha a_t$, equation (A15) turn out to be,

$$V_2^L(T_2, a_2, \alpha) = \underset{q_2^L}{\text{Min}} \left\{ T_2 (e_2^L - \alpha a_2 - q_2^L) + C^L(q_2^L, \theta) \right\} \quad (\text{A16})$$

Differentiate this equation with respect to a_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V_2^L(T_2, a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} &= -\alpha T_2 - T_2 \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \\ &= -\alpha T_2 - \left(T_2 - \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^L}{\partial a_2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

From the first order condition of Leader in second period,

$$\hat{T}_2 = \hat{C}_q^L(q_2^L, \theta) = \frac{\partial C^L(q_2^L, \theta)}{\partial q_2^L},$$

equation (A17) turn out to be, $\frac{\partial V_2^L(T_2, a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} = -\alpha T_2 < 0$

Appendix III

Proof for $\frac{\partial V_2^F(T_2, a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} \leq 0$,

From the objective equation of Follower in second period,

$$V_2^F(T_2, a_2, \alpha) = \underset{q_2^F}{\text{Min}} \left\{ T_2 (e_2^F - a_2^F - q_2^F) + C^F(q_2^F, \theta) \right\} \quad (\text{A15})$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ is the fraction of permits granted to Leader: $a_t^L = \alpha a_t$ and $a_t^F = (1 - \alpha) a_t$.

By $a_t^F = (1 - \alpha) a_t$, equation (A15) turn out to be,

$$V_2^F(T_2, a_2, \alpha) = \underset{q_2^F}{\text{Min}} \left\{ T_2 (e_2^F - (1 - \alpha) a_2 - q_2^F) + C^F(q_2^F, \theta) \right\} \quad (\text{A16})$$

Differentiate this equation with respect to a_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V_2^F(T_2, a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} &= -(1 - \alpha) T_2 - T_2 \frac{\partial q_2^F}{\partial a_2} + \frac{\partial C^F(q_2^F, \theta)}{\partial q_2^F} \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^F}{\partial a_2} \\ &= -(1 - \alpha) T_2 - \left(T_2 - \frac{\partial C^F(q_2^F, \theta)}{\partial q_2^F} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial q_2^F}{\partial a_2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

From the first order condition of Follower in second period,

$$\hat{T}_2 = \hat{C}_q^F(\hat{q}_2^F, \theta) = \frac{\partial C^F(q_2^F, \theta)}{\partial q_2^F},$$

equation (A17) turn out to be, $\frac{\partial V_2^F(T_2, a_2, \alpha)}{\partial a_2} = -(1 - \alpha) T_2 < 0$

REFERENCES

- A. Moleina, J. Coggins, S. Polasky and C. Costello (2003), Dynamic Environmental Policy with Strategic Firms: Prices versus Quantities, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 45, 356-376.
- E. Kwerel(1977), To tell the truth: imperfect information and optimal pollution control, *The Review of Economic Studies*, 44, 595–601.
- F. Andersson (1997), Small Pollution Markets: Tradable Permits versus Revelation Mechanisms, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 32, 38-50.
- F. Bulckaen (1996), Emissions Charge and Asymmetric Information: Consistently a Problem, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 34, 100-106.
- F. Spulber (1988), Optimal Environmental Regulation under Asymmetric Information, *Journal of Public Economics*, 35, 163-181.
- Jacoby D.H., Ellerman A.D. (2004), The safety valve and climate policy, *Energy Policy*32, 481–49.
- Larry Krap (2009), Incentives to Join International Environmental Agreements with Permit Trading and Safety Valves, University of California, Berkeley.
- P. Dasgupta, P. Hammond and E. Maskin (1980), On Imperfect Information and Optimal Pollution Control, *The Review of Economic Studies*, 47, 857–860.
- The ADB Country Partnership Strategy: Thailand (2007-2011), April 2007.
- Weitzman M. L. (1974), Prices vs. Quantities, *The Review of Economic Studies*, 41, 477-491.