

List of Figures

Figure

3.1.	Structure and discriminator of SelDLL.....	13
3.2.	Structure and discriminator of PelDLL.....	14
3.3.	Structure and discriminator of PerDLL.....	15
3.4.	Correlation coefficient of SelDLL versus q at specific n and N	17
3.5.	Mean absolute correlation coefficient of SelDLL versus n at specific N	18
3.6.	Correlation coefficient of PelDLL versus q at specific n and N	20
3.7.	Mean absolute correlation coefficient of PelDLL versus n at specific N	21
3.8.	Correlation coefficient of PerDLL versus q at specific n and N	24
3.9.	Mean absolute correlation coefficient of PerDLL versus n at specific N	25
3.10.	Probability of correct selection versus τ at SNR=-5 dB for various n	29
3.11.	Probability of correct selection versus τ at SNR=-10 dB for various n	29
3.12.	Probability of correct selection versus τ at SNR=-15 dB for various n	30
3.13.	Average probability of correct selection versus n for various SNR.....	30
3.14.	Probability of correct selection of PelDLL and PerDLL versus τ	31
3.15.	Probability of correct selection of PelDLL and PerDLL versus n	31
3.16.	Effect of imperfect estimated SNR on performance of PerDLL.....	32
4.1.	Proposed coherent acquisition system.....	34
4.2.	The desired function of $R_m(\tau)$ and $\bar{R}_m(\tau)$ for $m=1, 2, 3$	35
4.3.	The modified function of $R_m(\tau)$ and $\bar{R}_m(\tau)$ for $m=1, 2, 3$	36
5.1.	Reduced signal flow graph of the proposed system.....	65
5.2.	Signal flow graph for $H(z)$. Node number j represents the j^{th} tested code phase.....	68
5.3.	Signal flow graph for $H_{C,i}$ and $H_{CM,i}$, $x=1, 2$. Node number k represents the k^{th} repeated search of the correct estimated section, where $k=1, 2, \dots, L_{x,CM,i}$..	68
5.4.	Signal flow graphs for $G_{1,C}(z)$ and $G_{2,C}(z)$. Node number j represents the $(j+1)^{\text{th}}$ tested code phase in the correct estimated section, where $j=0, 1, \dots, 2^{\lambda-M}$ for (a) and $j=0, 1, \dots, 2^{\lambda-M} - 1$ for (b).....	72
5.5.	Signal flow graphs for $G_{1,CM}(z)$ and $G_{2,CM}(z)$. Node number j represents the $(j+1)^{\text{th}}$ tested code phase in the correct estimated section, where $j=0, 1, \dots, 2^{\lambda-M}$ for (a) and $j=0, 1, \dots, 2^{\lambda-M} - 1$ for (b). Finishing node k is $2, 3, \dots, L_{x,CM,i}$ and $(i+1) C$ in Figure 5.3.....	74
5.6.	Signal flow graph for $H_{R,i}$ and $H_{RM,i}$, $x=1, 2, 3, 4$. Node number k represents the k^{th} repeated search of the detectable incorrect estimated section, where $k=1, 2, \dots, L_{x,RM,i}$	78

5.7.	Signal flow graphs for $G_{x,r}(z)$. Node number j represents the $(j+1)^{\text{th}}$ tested code phase in the detectable incorrect estimated section, where $j=0$ for (a), $j=0, 1, \dots, 2^{\lambda-M} + 2$ for (b) and $j=0, 1, \dots, 2^{\lambda-M} + 1$ for (c).....	80
5.8.	Signal flow graph for $H_{E,i}$, $x=1, 2$. Node number k represents the k^{th} repeated search of the non-detectable incorrect estimated section, where $k=1, 2, \dots, L_{x,E,i}$	83
5.9.	Signal flow graphs for $G_{1,E}(z)$ and $G_{2,E}(z)$. Node number j represents the j^{th} tested code phase in the non-detectable incorrect estimated section, where $j=1, 2, \dots, 2^{\lambda-M} + 2$ for (a) and $j=1, 2, \dots, 2^{\lambda-M} + 1$ for (b). Finishing node k is $2, 3, \dots, L_{x,E,i}$ and $(i+1) E$ in Figure 5.8.....	85
5.10.	Flowcharts of computing $W_{kx}(z)$ and $W_{k x}(z)$	86
6.1.	Simulation and analytical results of P_c versus L , with of $N=63$	93
6.2.	Simulation and analytical results of P_c versus L , with of $N=255$	94
6.3.	Simulation and analytical results of P_c versus L , with of $N=1023$	94
6.4.	Analytical results of P_c versus L , with $N=1023$	95
6.5.	Analytical results of P_c versus L , with $\text{SNR}=-5\text{dB}$	96
6.6.	Analytical results of P_c versus L , with $\text{SNR}=-15\text{dB}$	96
6.7.	Analytical results of $P_{c,\text{tr}}$ versus L , with $N=63$	97
6.8.	Analytical results of $P_{c,\text{tr}}$ versus L , with $N=255$	97
6.9.	Analytical P_r versus L , with $N=63$	98
6.10.	Analytical P_r versus L , with $N=255$	98
6.11.	Analytical results of $P_{c,\text{tr}}$, P_r , P_{de} versus L , with $N=63$, $\text{SNR}=-5\text{dB}$	99
6.12.	Analytical results of $P_{c,\text{tr}}$, P_r , P_{de} versus L , with $N=63$, $\text{SNR}=-15\text{dB}$	99
6.13.	Analytical results of P_c , $P_{c c}$, $P_{c e}$ versus L_i , with $\text{SNR}=-5\text{dB}$, $L_{i-1}=8$, $N=63$	100
6.14.	Analytical results of P_c , $P_{c c}$, $P_{c e}$ versus L_i , with $\text{SNR}=-15\text{dB}$, $L_{i-1}=8$, $N=63$	101
6.15.	Analytical results of P_c , $P_{c c}$, $P_{c e}$ versus L_{i-1} , with $\text{SNR}=-5\text{dB}$, $L_i=10$, $N=63$	101
6.16.	Analytical results of P_c , $P_{c c}$, $P_{c e}$ versus L_{i-1} , with $\text{SNR}=-15\text{dB}$, $L_i=90$, $N=63$	101
6.17.	Analytical results of $P_{c c}$ versus L_i , with $N=1023$, $L_{i-1}=8$	102
6.18.	Analytical results of $P_{c e}$ versus L_i , with $N=1023$, $L_{i-1}=8$	102
6.19.	Analytical results of $P_{c c}$ versus L_{i-1} , with $N=1023$	102
6.20.	Analytical results of $P_{c e}$ versus L_{i-1} , with $N=1023$	103
6.21.	Analytical results of $P_{c c}$ versus L_i , with $\text{SNR}=-5\text{dB}$, $L_{i-1}=8$	103
6.22.	Analytical results of $P_{c c}$ versus L_i , with $\text{SNR}=-15\text{dB}$, $L_{i-1}=90$	103
6.23.	Analytical results of $P_{c e}$ versus L_i , with $\text{SNR}=-5\text{dB}$, $L_{i-1}=8$	104

6.24.	Analytical results of P_{cle} versus L_i , with SNR=-15dB, $L_{i-1}=90$	104
6.25.	Analytical results of P_{clc} versus L_{i-1} , with SNR=-5 dB, $L_i=10$	104
6.26.	Analytical results of P_{clc} versus L_{i-1} , with SNR=-15 dB, $L_i=90$	105
6.27.	Analytical results of P_{cle} versus L_{i-1} , with SNR=-5dB, $L_i=10$	105
6.28.	Analytical results of P_{cle} versus L_{i-1} , with SNR=-15dB, $L_i=90$	105
6.29.	Analytical P_c , $P_{clc,tr}$, and $P_{cle,tr}$ versus L_i , with $L_{i-1}=8$, $N=63$, SNR=-5dB....	106
6.30.	Analytical P_c , $P_{clc,tr}$, and $P_{cle,tr}$ versus L_i , with $L_{i-1}=8$, $N=63$, SNR=-15dB...	106
6.31.	Analytical P_c , $P_{clc,tr}$, and $P_{cle,tr}$ versus L_{i-1} , with $L_i=10$, $N=63$, SNR=-5dB...	106
6.32.	Analytical P_c , $P_{clc,tr}$, and $P_{cle,tr}$ versus L_{i-1} , with $L_i=90$, $N=63$, SNR=-15dB..	107
6.33.	Analytical P_r , P_{rlc} , and P_{rle} versus L_i , with $L_{i-1}=8$, $N=63$, SNR=-5dB.....	107
6.34.	Analytical P_r , P_{rlc} , and P_{rle} versus L_i , with $L_{i-1}=8$, $N=63$, SNR=-15dB.....	108
6.35.	Analytical P_r , P_{rlc} , and P_{rle} versus L_{i-1} , with $L_i=10$, $N=63$, SNR=-5dB.....	108
6.36.	Analytical P_r , P_{rlc} , and P_{rle} versus L_{i-1} , with $L_i=90$, $N=63$, SNR=-15dB.....	108
6.37.	Analytical P_{dc} , P_{delc} , and P_{dele} versus L_i , with $L_{i-1}=8$, $N=63$, SNR=-5dB.....	109
6.38.	Analytical P_{dc} , P_{delc} , and P_{dele} versus L_i , with $L_{i-1}=8$, $N=63$, SNR=-15dB....	109
6.39.	Analytical P_{dc} , P_{delc} , and P_{dele} versus L_{i-1} , with $L_i=10$, $N=63$, SNR=-5dB....	110
6.40.	Analytical P_{dc} , P_{delc} , and P_{dele} versus L_{i-1} , with $L_i=90$, $N=63$, SNR=-15dB...	110
6.41.	MAT, VAT, and their ratios versus P_d for $N=63$, SNR=-15dB, $K_p=15$	113
6.42.	MAT, VAT, and their ratios versus K_p for $N=63$, SNR=-15dB, $P_d=0.97$	113
6.43.	MAT ratio versus P_d due to different N at SNR=-15dB, $K_p=15$	114
6.44.	MAT ratio versus K_p due to different N at SNR=-15dB, $P_d=0.97$	115
6.45.	VAT ratio versus P_d due to different N at SNR=-15dB, $K_p=15$	115
6.46.	VAT ratio versus K_p due to different N at SNR=-15dB, $P_d=0.97$	116
6.47.	MAT ratio versus P_d due to different SNR at $K_p=15$	117
6.48.	MAT ratio versus K_p due to different SNR at $P_d=0.97$	117
6.49.	VAT ratio versus P_d due to different SNR at $K_p=15$	118
6.50.	VAT ratio versus K_p due to different SNR at $P_d=0.97$	118
6.51.	MAT ratio versus L_0 , with $P_d=0.99$, $P_{fa}=0.01$, $K_p=15$, and $N=63$	119
6.52.	MAT ratio versus L_0 , with $P_d=0.99$, $P_{fa}=0.01$, $K_p=15$, and $N=1023$	120
6.53.	MAT ratio versus L_0 , with SNR=-10dB, $N=255$ for various P_d , K_p	120
6.54.	Simulation and analytical MAT of proposed scheme versus P_d , with $N=63$	121
6.55.	Simulation and analytical MAT of proposed scheme versus P_d , with $N=1023$..	122
6.56.	Simulation and analytical MAT of proposed scheme versus K_p , with $N=63$	122
6.57.	Simulation and analytical MAT of proposed scheme versus K_p , with $N=1023$	123
6.58.	Analytical MAT ratio and ANE versus M , with SNR=-15dB, $P_d=0.99$, $K_p=15$	123

6.59.	Effect of M on pmf of the i^{th} estimate, with $\text{SNR}=-15\text{dB}$, $P_d=0.99$, $K_p=15..$	125
6.60.	Effect of L_0 on pmf of the i^{th} estimate, with $\text{SNR}=-15\text{dB}$, $P_d=0.99$, $K_p=15$	126
E.1.	The relation between the delayed version of local code and the received signal at the m^{th} branch during $iT_c \leq t \leq (i+1)T_c$	137
E.2.	The relation between the advanced version of local code and the received signal at the m^{th} branch during $iT_c \leq t \leq (i+1)T_c$	138
F.1.	The relation between the delay and advance version of local code at the m^{th} branch during $iT_c \leq t \leq (i+1)T_c$	140
K.1.	The correlation function $R_m(\tau)$ for $m=1, 2, 3, 4$	154