

List of Figures

Figure Caption	Page
3.1 Overview of the ST-SLAM system.	11
3.2 Original trinocular image set captured in the lab. (a) Reference image. (b) Horizontally aligned image. (c) Vertically aligned image.	19
3.3 Rectified trinocular image set with Shi-Tomasi points whose three-way correspondences are established (marked by circles). (a) Reference image. (b) Horizontally aligned image. (c) Vertically aligned image.	20
4.1 Ground truth path of the simulated robot. The path is approximately a 100 m \times 55 m rectangle. The robot departs from the origin following the directions indicated by arrows and comes back to the same point.	23
4.2 A sample trinocular image set captured in the simulated environment. (a) Reference image. (b) Horizontally aligned image. (c) Vertically aligned image.	24
4.3 Ground truth path of the rig. The rig was initially placed at the origin. The path was composed of approximately 4 m of forward translation followed by a 180°-clockwise rotation and 4 m of forward translation.	24
4.4 10cm-baseline trinocular camera rig used in the experiment.	25
4.5 A sample image set captured in the laboratory. (a) Reference image. (b) Horizontally aligned image. (c) Vertically aligned image.	26
5.1 Best accumulated log likelihood versus number of particles.	31
5.2 Estimated paths of the simulated robot for various SLAM setups. (a) Odometry-only SLAM. (b-f) ST-SLAM with 1, 10, 100, 1,000, and 10,000 particles, respectively.	34
5.3 Move errors for various SLAM setups. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals on the mean error over the experiment. (a) xy-distance error. (b) Yaw error. *: significantly different from odometry-only SLAM with a type I error rate of 0.05.	35
5.4 2D projections of the 3D point landmark map of the simulation environment obtained by ST-SLAM with 10,000 particles. (a) Top view with the 3D point landmarks projected onto the xy-plane. (b) Side view with the 3D point landmarks projected into the yz-plane.	36
5.5 Best accumulated log likelihood versus number of particles. α is the odometric noise used to calculate the measured motions $\mathbf{u}_{1:t}$.	37

- 5.6 Estimated paths of the rig for various SLAM setups with odometric noise level $\alpha = 2.0$. (a) Odometry-only SLAM. (b-f) ST-SLAM with 1, 10, 100, 1,000, and 10,000 particles, respectively. 38
- 5.7 xy-distance move error versus odometric noise level α . (a) Odometry-only SLAM. (Since we only vary yaw error, α has no effect on the xy-distance move error.) (b) ST-SLAM with 1,000 particles. (c) ST-SLAM with 10,000 particles. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals on the mean error over the experiment. 39
- 5.8 Yaw move error versus odometric noise level α . (a) Odometry-only SLAM. (b) ST-SLAM with 1,000 particles. (c) ST-SLAM with 10,000 particles. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals on the mean error over the experiment. *: significantly different from odometry-only SLAM at corresponding α with a type I error rate of 0.05 and Bonferroni correction. 39
- 5.9 2D projections of the 3D point landmark map obtained by ST-SLAM with 10,000 particles. Odometric noise level $\alpha = 2.0$. The dashed rectangle is the boundary of the lab. The initial position of the tripod is marked by a label. (a) Top view with the 3D point landmarks projected onto the xy-plane. (b) Side view with the 3D point landmarks projected into the yz-plane. 40